

**MINUTES
OF THE
REGULAR MEETING
OF
LAKEWOOD CITY COUNCIL
HELD IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS
12650 DETROIT AVENUE
NOVEMBER 3, 2014
7:30 P.M.**

Regular Meeting of the Lakewood City Council called to order at 7:32 PM by President Madigan.

Present: David Anderson, Thomas R. Bullock III, Shawn Juris, Mary Louise Madigan, Cindy Marx, Ryan Nowlin, Samuel T. O’Leary

....

Also Present: Mayor Summers, Law Director Butler, Finance Director Pae, Public Works Director Beno, Police Chief Malley, Fire Chief Gilman, Human Services Director Gelsomino, Planning & Development Director Siley, Human Resources Director Yousefi.

....

Reading and Disposal of the minutes of the Regular Meeting of Council held October 20, 2014.

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin to approve the minutes without the necessity of a reading.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

Reports, legislation and communications from Members of Council, the Mayor and other City Officials.

******OLD BUSINESS******

1. Committee of the Whole Report regarding Committee of the Whole of 11/3/14. (To Be Provided – Madigan; Chair)

This evening the Committee of the Whole, at which all members were present, although there was an excused absence for Councilman Bullock. We had four things on our agenda, without any action on any of them but the items on the agenda, during which we had a vibrant discussion, included planning and development update from Director Siley. For our two Committees of the Whole, one Committee of the month we have Director Siley come and give a general update and another Committee of the Whole we have Director Beno give a general update, so that we can be as informed as possible. Of course they are always available by telephone as well. They are also always welcome to attend Committees of the Whole. We got an update from Director Siley on a number of issues and were able to ask him a number of questions.

We discussed the Civil Service Commission report regarding their recommendation for Council and Mayoral salaries. We discussed the Council salary issue and I shared a draft piece of legislation for discussion about increasing Council salary.

The third item on the agenda was the proposed Third Amended Charter. One of the things this Council knew we had to do (by Charter) in 2014, was convene the Charter Commission. They proposed and gave us an excellent product which is the Third Amended Charter, which functions as our local constitution. We have all read it. We have discussed it with our own counsel, with our own neighbors. We have tried to promote it. We have discussed it in Committee of the Whole and have discussed it with the Charter Commission as well as Director Butler – so we continued that conversation tonight and came up with a timeline for 2015. We got an inventory of those items that are in our current charter that will now end up in Lakewood Codified Ordinances. We have some work to do in 2015 before we present that Charter to the voters at the end of next year. Probably in November 2015 we will vote on that. There will be lots of outreach education beforehand.

Then we did our regular agenda review that we do at every Committee of the Whole so that we're on the same page about the agenda before us as a Council body.

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to receive and file the oral report.
Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

2. Housing Committee Report regarding Hen Keeping Pilot Resolution. Anderson; Chair (Pg.5)

Motion by Mr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Bullock, to receive and file the report.
Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

3. **RESOLUTION NO. 8755-14** – A RESOLUTION establishing a pilot program to permit the keeping of hens in the city of Lakewood under certain conditions. (**PLEASE SUBSTITUTE** for Resolution No. 8755-14 referred to the Housing Committee 7/21/14) (Pg.8)

Councilmember Bullock moved to substitute. Councilmember O'Leary seconded the motion. Councilmember Bullock clarified that the substitute version is the same version that appears in the docket.

David Mortland – 2125 Bunts Rd

Mr. Mortland opposed the Resolution, remarking on the close proximity of properties to one another in Lakewood. He remarked on the access to fresh foods and eggs in Lakewood. He cited information from the CDC about Salmonella outbreaks linked to backyard hens. He expressed confidence in the ability of pilot families to successfully raise hens but is doubtful that the next cycle of families will be as vigilant because they won't be under as much scrutiny. He questioned the City's liability in the case of a disease outbreak caused by hens. He expressed concern about an increase in predators.

Denise Abboud – 1522 Waterbury

Ms. Abboud expressed opposition to the Resolution. She remarked that while the pilot families will likely do a good job raising hens, she does not believe that the request to have hens is reflective of the majority opinion of Lakewood residents. She clarified that she is not opposed to

all urban agriculture but that Lakewood is a particularly bad fit for hens due to density. She pointed out that the City regulates many other aspects of City life more rigorously than it will regulate hens. She provided examples. Ms. Abboud expressed concern about hens causing a decline in property values. She concluded by outlining what she identified as the many more “cons” than “pros” about the proposal.

Edward Ehrbar – 1530 Waterbury

Mr. Ehrbar opposed the Resolution and expressed agreement with the points previously made.

Chris Kos – 12951 Harlon

Ms. Kos opposed the Resolution on grounds that the City’s homes are too close. She expressed agreement with the points previously made.

Coletta Graham – 1286 Chase Ave.

Mrs. Graham conducted an informal survey to gauge residents’ awareness of the proposal. She concluded that the majority of residents are not aware of the Resolution and that not enough has been done to communicate to the public about it. She researched the policies of surrounding communities and highlighted those that prohibit or highly regulate hens including Rocky River and Fairview Park. She stated that the issue of hens ought to be placed on the ballot for voters to decide.

Jeremy Soditch – 1675 Chesterland Ave.

Mr. Soditch opposed the Resolution from his perspective as a new homeowner. He outlined calculations to demonstrate that raising hens is more expensive than purchasing organic eggs over the course of a year. He expressed confidence in the ability of pilot families to successfully raise hens but is doubtful that the next cycle of families will be as vigilant. He questioned the well-being of the hens and what will happen to them after they stop laying eggs. He cited information about abandoned hens. He asserted that hens do make more noise than hen supporters acknowledge.

Cory Webber – 2046 Waterbury

Mr. Webber expressed support for backyard hens. He stated that 90% of the vegetables his family eats come from his backyard garden. He would be open to raising hens.

Lori Thorrat – 1233 Westlake Ave

Ms. Thorrat expressed support for the Resolution and a personal interest in raising backyard hens. She cited the many communities where backyard hens are kept. She pointed out that residents who seek to raise hens will not be able to do so on a whim. She pointed out the amount of time and research that must go into the various aspects of hen-keeping. She encouraged everyone to put the matter into perspective, stating that a minority of residents will actually follow through raising hens.

April Stoltz – 1224 Edwards Ave.

Ms. Stoltz urged Councilmembers to support the Resolution. She acknowledged the concerns expressed by residents and encouraged them to trust their neighbors. She emphasized the commitment of Hens in Lakewood to a successful pilot. She remarked that upon the conclusion

of the pilot that Council can write an ordinance that addresses any issues that arise. She highlighted the benefits of backyard hens. She remarked on the themes of trust, faith, respect, and vision. She talked about the changing norms and practices in urban and suburban spaces. She expressed optimism that Lakewood residents can live together without living exactly alike.

Priscilla Knutsen – 16927 Lake Ave

Ms. Knutsen expressed support for the Resolution. She does not plan to keep hens but expressed encouragement for her neighbors to keep them. She dismissed the problem of abandoned hens, stating that this has occurred in communities where there are too few barriers to obtaining hens.

Tom Wagner – 1483 Lewis

Mr. Wagner expressed no opposition to having his neighbors try raising hens. He commended Council for crafting a highly regulated pilot program that is limited in scope. He expressed confidence that the City will manage problems that may arise.

Will Schabel – 17770 Riverside

Mr. Schabel advocated for the inclusion of a minimum lot size provision in the Resolution. He referenced North Olmsted and Cleveland Heights as examples of communities that allow hens with lot size restrictions. Mr. Schabel expressed certainty that property values will decline as a result of backyard hens. He asked Council to consider the expectations of residents who currently live in the City.

Monique Smith – 1529 Rockway Ave

Ms. Smith distributed photos to highlight her concern about hens being allowed on very small lots. She advocated for the inclusion of a minimum lot size provision in the Resolution. She expressed concern that the proposal doesn't allow neighbors to weigh in. She stated that as written, the burden is put upon neighbors to call in complaints to the City. She pointed out that this is in addition to a number of other tasks the City expects neighbors to perform to keep the streets safe and presentable.

Ruth Gillett – 1517 Clarence Ave

Ms. Gillett thanked the City for the time dedicated to tweaking the resolution. She views the pilot as a good opportunity to try out backyard hens. She characterized herself as not part of the small group intensely for hens but as a person who is not opposed.

Tom O'Dougherty – 1264 Manor Park

Mr. O'Dougherty is a licensed realtor and has spoken with many buyers and sellers. He reports unanimous agreement that backyard hens will bring down property values. He suggested that Council spend more time to research the issue.

Mike Farrell – 1078 Forest Cliff

Mr. Farrell expressed concern about the proposal, reasons he mentioned were rodents, predators, smells, disease, noise, garden damage, and care for hens when property owners are away. He questioned whether allowing chickens will lead to allowing other animals. He suggested allowing hen keeping in a park area similar to a dog park or a 4-H program

Elizabeth Homcy – 1558 Wagar Ave.

Ms. Homcy stated that she trusts the City to make good decisions, even if they are not the choices she would make as an individual. She urged Councilmembers to make decisions based on facts and what is in the best interest of the community as a whole. She compared the noise and mess caused by dogs to that of chickens.

Ken Kowalski – 1466 Waterbury

Mr. Kowalski expressed appreciation for Council addressing the topic of hens. He commended the proposal as being well-crafted. He recommended using the pilot as a test to evaluate how hen-keeping will work.

Catherine Hilow – 12547 Lake Ave

Ms. Hilow spoke in support of the proposal. She described the positive experience she had visiting her cousin in Arizona who raises hens.

Cherise Sims – 13312 Lake Ave

Ms. Sims addressed concerns related to chicken manure, stating that chicken manure is less of an issue than dog manure and better for the soil. She cited the number of major cities that allow hens. She addressed concerns about disease and what to do with the chickens after they stop laying. She provided anecdotes about people who see allowing hens as a benefit to property values. She remarked on how backyard hen-raising used to be the norm that we should get back to.

Joe Gibbons 1475 W.Clifton

Mr. Gibbons is a former Councilmember. He expressed opposition to the proposal, stating that hens are not right for Lakewood even if they are allowed in other communities. He expressed concerns about nuisances, quality of life, health issues, and property values. He requested that Councilmembers obtain more information before implementing the pilot. He expressed confidence that the Hens in Lakewood group will do an exemplary job. However, he predicted that future hen keepers will abuse the program and that enforcement will be problematic. He described the tight conditions of his neighborhood and how it would not be conducive to hens. He suggested a system of neighbor approval.

Jan Dregalla – 1571 Roycroft

Ms. Dregalla spoke in support of the proposal. She described the benefits of hens including high quality compost. She described first-hand experience raising hens and interest in doing it in Lakewood. She provided an anecdote about hens increasing the property value in one circumstance. She encouraged people with doubts to go visit a backyard coop.

Councilmember Anderson remarked on the Resolution. He noted that the inclusion of the setbacks will exclude certain smaller size lots. He compared chicken coops to dog houses, noting that he has not control over his neighbor's decisions about the placement of dog houses on their property. He remarked on the concept of neighborliness in Lakewood and how it influences his position on the introduction of new proposals. He expressed confidence in the crafting of the Resolution. By the end of 18 months the City will know if hens will work in Lakewood. He stated his intention to support the Resolution.

Councilmember Bullock remarked on the Resolution. He complimented Chairman Anderson's facilitation of the discussions in committee. He described the research that has gone into the proposal over many years. He distinguished between urban and rural agriculture. He described the profit motives to cut costs on safety, labor, and cleanliness in factory farming. He responded to the comments about property values, stating that there is no way to know for sure this proposal's effect on property values. He mentioned factors that in his opinion have a much greater influence on property values.

He reviewed the quality safeguards in the Resolution. He referred to the fact that the permit can be revoked for any reason. He pointed out that no roosters are allowed, that fences are required, training is required, and that setbacks are required. He pointed to the successful experiences of other cities. He stated that the purpose of the pilot is to gather facts so that an informed decision on hens can be made.

He clarified what the possibilities are at the conclusion of the pilot program. He expressed support for limiting the number of new permit-holders should backyard hen-keeping become permanent.

Councilmember O'Leary described the evolution of his position on backyard hens. He stated that the City is a changing environment and we ought not hold so fast to neighborhood identities when there are so many new aspects that can bring strengths. He stated that the pilot program is thoughtful, well-reasoned, and rational in its approach. He acknowledged the request for a minimum lot size and explained that the setbacks try to achieve the same outcome. He stated that the pilot project will help the City to gather the necessary information.

Councilmember Marx responded to concerns about the availability of information on the resolution. She described conversations with residents on both sides of this issue as well as realtors. She responded to health concerns and emphasized the importance of hand-washing. She noted that there is no correlation between Salmonella cases in Cuyahoga County and the presence of backyard hens. She remarked on the importance of having a pilot to determine if hen-keeping will work. She mentioned the pilot program to allow leashed dogs in Lakewood Park. She remarked on the concept of neighborliness and the responsibility to be respectful to neighbors. She has found no evidence of a decline in property values. She stated that concerns should be communicated to Council during the pilot so that they can be addressed. She encouraged residents to remain active citizens and expressed her intention to vote for the resolution.

Councilmember Juris motioned to refer the Resolution to Health and Human Services Committee.

President Madigan seconded the motion.

Councilmember Bullock stated that the motion was out of order with an existing motion on the table.

Councilmember Juris referenced a quote about how one person's rights are limited by how they impede another's rights. He critiqued the setbacks in the Resolution as not being adequate to protect neighbors. He disagreed that the pilot is well-crafted, noting that it disregards seven sections of the Codified Ordinances designed to protect the public interest. He stated that a three foot setback is not a meaningful regulation. He dismissed the comparisons to other cities. He stated that the only important consideration is how suitable a proposal is for Lakewood. He asserted that the proposal lacks fitness and merit. He questioned the assertions that raising backyard hens is more affordable and healthy. He stated that he is not opposed out of fear, but rather out of obligation to protect the public interest. He stated that concerns are being minimized. He expressed disappointment that colleagues did not address his concerns about minimum lot sizes, reasonable setbacks, and health concerns. He stated that there have been 75 hen-related complaints in Cleveland.

Councilmember Juris clarified that he had withdrawn his motion.

President Madigan seconded.

President Madigan thanked the public for coming out. She thanked Councilmember Anderson for a well-run discussion of the Resolution in the Housing Committee. She remarked on the nature of public dialogue and debate in Lakewood. She recognized and commended the vocal, organized group of residents who have been working to bring hens to Lakewood. She expressed her disagreement with the group's purpose. She explained that she makes decisions based on what is best for ward 4, what will make it stronger. She stated that backyard hens are only suited to those who can afford an expensive hobby. She stated that more residents in ward 4 will be excluded from hen-raising because they don't have the money or the yard space. She remarked that the Resolution relies too much on luck – luck that hen-raising neighbors will be responsible. She raised the issue of setbacks and how they can possibly position a coop closer to a neighbor's house than the hen-keeper's house. She objected to the fact that the Resolution does not specify what the coop should look like or the materials to be used. She expressed concern about property values. She remarked on the dense nature of the City and that the City is not the right environment.

Councilmember Nowlin applauded the efforts of Hens in Lakewood. He thanked Councilmember Anderson for leading a well-run discussion of the Resolution in the Housing Committee. He explained why he will not support the Resolution. He believes that protecting housing values is paramount. The evidence about hen-keeping's impact on property values is at best neutral. Some sources indicate it will be a negative impact. He acknowledged that the pilot will go well because the applicants will be hand-picked by Hens in Lakewood. He described the backyard coop tour he went on. He remarked that he was taken to see "all-star" hen keepers. The coop he saw was unable to be seen outside of the backyard. He expressed concern that this is not representative of the folks who will raise hens after the conclusion of the pilot. He stated that without a minimum lot size requirement or neighbor approval he will not support the proposal.

A roll call vote was taken on the motion to substitute

Yea – Anderson, Bullock, Marx, O’Leary

Nay – Juris, Madigan, Nowlin

Motion passed

Councilmember Bullock moved to adopt. Councilmember O’Leary seconded the motion.

Councilmember Juris made a subsidiary motion to refer to Health and Human Services Committee. President Madigan seconded the motion.

Yea – Juris, Madigan, Nowlin

Nay – Anderson, Bullock, Marx, O’Leary

Motion failed

Councilmember Juris moved to amend. President Madigan seconded the motion.

Councilmember Juris described his proposed amendment as follows:

- Change the setback to 25 feet from the rear and side property lines
- Add a 30 foot setback from property line from manure storage
- Require soil testing
- Require valid proof of insurance
- Require a letter of support from all adjacent neighbors
- Replace the approval of Hens in Lakewood with the approval of the ward Councilmember

Councilmember Bullock expressed hesitancy to support an amendment not presented previously in writing.

Councilmember provided more specific language to accomplish his proposed changes.

Councilmember Bullock stated that he could be open to some of the suggestions with the full language in front of him. He pointed out concerns with some of the suggestions.

Councilmember Juris withdrew the motion. President Madigan seconded the motion.

Roll Call was taken on the Motion to adopt the amended version of 8755-14.

Yea – Anderson, Bullock, Marx, O’Leary

Nay – Juris, Madigan, Nowlin

Motion by Mr. Bullock, seconded by Mr. Anderson, to substitute Resolution 8755-14.

Yeas: Anderson, Bullock, Marx, O’Leary

Nays: Juris, Madigan, Nowlin

Motion adopted. Resolution substituted

Motion by Mr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Bullock, to adopt Resolution No. 8755-14.

Yeas: Anderson, Bullock, Marx, O'Leary

Nays: Juris, Madigan, Nowlin

Motion adopted. Resolution adopted as substituted

4. Rules & Ordinances Committee Report regarding Ordinance No. 34-14. O'Leary; Chair (To Be Provided)

Dear Members of Council,

The Rules and Ordinances Committee met on October 27, 2014, to consider Proposed Ordinance 34-14, which repeals Chapter 737 of the Lakewood Codified Ordinances, titled "Pawnbrokers" and replaces it with Chapter 737, titled "Secondhand Dealers."

Chief Malley of the Lakewood Police Department provided an introduction to Ordinance 34-14, which the Department's Detective Bureau requests be adopted to facilitate the tracking of stolen items and investigation of those trafficking in stolen property.

In addition to adding important crime-fighting tools, Ordinance 34-14 updates outmoded terminology and provisions contained in the current version of Chapter 737 and adds important provisions related to the permitting process and reporting requirements imposed on these businesses.

The Rules and Ordinances Committee voted to recommend adoption of proposed Ordinance 34-14 upon its final reading.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Sam O'Leary

Motion by Mr. O'Leary, seconded by Ms. Madigan, to receive and file the oral report.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

5. **ORDINANCE NO. 34-14** – AN ORDINANCE repealing Chapter 737, Pawnbrokers, and replacing it with Chapter 737, Secondhand Dealers, of the Codified Ordinances of the City of Lakewood, in order to discard outmoded provisions and institute timely regulations within the Code. (PLACED ON 1ST READING & REFERRED TO THE RULES & ORDINANCES COMMITTEE 10/6/14, 2ND READING 10/20/14) (Pg. 11)

Motion by Mr. Juris, seconded by Ms. Madigan, to amend Ordinance 34-14.

Yeas: Anderson, Bullock, Juris, Madigan, Marx, Nowlin, O'Leary

Nays: None

Motion adopted. Ordinance 34-14 amended.

Motion by Mr. Juris, seconded by Ms. Madigan, to adopt Ordinance 34-14 as amended.
Motion adopted. Ordinance 34-14 adopted as amended.

6. Public Safety Committee Report regarding Public Safety Committee meeting of October 20, 2014. (Pg. 17)

Motion by Mr. Bullock, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to receive and file the report.
Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

7. **ORDINANCE NO. 36-14** – AN ORDINANCE to repeal the existing Chapter 1143, Off-Street Parking, of the Zoning Code of the City of Lakewood and to enact a new Chapter 1143, Parking. (PLACED ON 1ST READING & REFERRED TO THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE 10/20/14) (Pg. 18)

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to place Ordinance No. 36-14 on second reading.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

*******NEW BUSINESS*******

8. Communication from Council President Madigan regarding Council Appointments. (Pg. 41)

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to receive and file the communication.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to read items 9-17 for blanket motion.

Yeas: Anderson, Bullock, Juris, Madigan, Marx, Nowlin, O’Leary.

Nays: None

Motion by adopted – Clerk reads items 9-17 consecutively.

9. **RESOLUTION NO. 8771-14** A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, appointing _____ to the Planning Commission for the six-year term beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2020. (Pg. 42)

*blanket motion to refer to Committee of the Whole made after item 17

10. **RESOLUTION NO. 8772-14** - A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, appointing _____ to the Board of Building Standards and Building Appeals and the Architectural Board of Review for the five-year term beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2019. (Pg. 43)

*blanket motion to refer to Committee of the Whole made after item 17

11. **RESOLUTION NO. 8773-14** - A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, appointing _____ to the Board of Zoning Appeals for the five-year term beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2019. (Pg. 44)

*blanket motion to refer to Committee of the Whole made after item 17

12. **RESOLUTION NO. 8774-14** - A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, appointing _____ to the Community Reinvestment Area Housing Council for a three-year term beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2017. (Pg. 45)

*blanket motion to refer to Committee of the Whole made after item 17

13. **RESOLUTION NO. 8775-14** - A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, appointing _____ to the Community Reinvestment Area Housing Council for a three-year term beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2017. (Pg. 46)

*blanket motion to refer to Committee of the Whole made after item 17

14. **RESOLUTION NO. 8776-14** - A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, appointing _____ to the Board of Nuisance Abatement Appeals for the three-year term beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2017. (Pg. 47)

*blanket motion to refer to Committee of the Whole made after item 17

15. **RESOLUTION NO. 8777-14** - A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, appointing _____ as a community organization trustee to the Lakewood Hospital Association Governing Board, for the term beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2019. (Pg. 48)

*blanket motion to refer to Committee of the Whole made after item 17

16. **RESOLUTION NO. 8778-14** - A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, appointing _____ to the Lakewood Heritage Advisory Board for a four-year term beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2018. (Pg. 49)

*blanket motion to refer to Committee of the Whole made after item 17

17. **RESOLUTION NO. 8779-14** - A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, appointing _____ to the Lakewood Heritage Advisory Board for a four-year term beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2018. (Pg. 50)

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin to refer items 9-17 to the Committee of the Whole.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

18. Communication from Councilmember Bullock regarding 1) Crimes Tip Rewards Program and 2) Adjust Dangerous Dog Registration Fee. (Pg. 51)

Motion by Mr. Bullock, seconded by Ms. Madigan, to receive and file the communication.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

19. **RESOLUTION NO. 8780-14** – AN EMERGENCY RESOLUTION authorizing Director of Public Safety to establish a one year graffiti prevention pilot program with expenditures not to exceed \$5,000 for the purpose of acquiring information to aid law enforcement activities related to graffiti-related offenses. (Pg. 52)

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to refer Resolution No. 8780-14 to the Public Safety Committee.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

20. **ORDINANCE NO. 37-14** – AN ORDINANCE amending Section 506.04 of the Codified Ordinances, Exception, Registration and Fee, for the purpose of eliminating the fees associated with the annual renewal of registrations of dangerous animals. (Pg. 54)

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Bullock, to place Ordinance No. 37-14 on 1st Reading and refer it to the Public Safety Committee.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

21. Communication from Finance Director Pae regarding Amended Purchasing and Contracting Ordinance FY2014. (Pg. 58)

Correction was made by Finance Director Pae in the correspondence to read, \$117,500 in the first line to correctly reflect the sum of the figures provided.

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to receive and file the communication.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

22. **ORDINANCE NO. 1-14D** – AN ORDINANCE to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect and be in force after the earliest period allowed by law, amending Ordinance 1-14C, adopted October 6, 2014, authorizing the Mayor (Director of Public Safety), the Director of Public Works, the Director of Law, the Director of Finance, and/or the Purchasing Manager to enter into contracts for professional services, and to advertise for bids and enter into contracts for the purchase of repair maintenance and operating supplies, services and equipment as authorized by the 2014

Appropriation Ordinance and the Administrative Code of the City of Lakewood with the lowest and best bidder or bidders otherwise provided by law. (Pg. 59)

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to place Ordinance 1-14D on 1st Reading and refer it to the Finance Committee.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

- 23. Communication from Fire Chief Gilman regarding City of Lakewood’s participation in tactical medical control agreement between the MetroHealth System and the Westshore Council of Governments (to be provided).

Dear Members of Council,

Attached is a resolution authorizing the City of Lakewood to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Metro Health Medical Center to provide Medical Control for the Lakewood Fire Department Paramedics that operate with the Westshore Enforcement Bureau Tactical Team. This agreement is needed to provide Medical Direction for these Paramedics that operate with this highly specialized team and provide advanced medical care to the Tactical Police Officers. This type of medical care is more advanced than the care provided by the Lakewood Fire Department Paramedics on a day to day basis.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Scott K. Gilman
Fire Chief

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to receive and file the communication.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

- 24. **RESOLUTION NO. 8781-14** – A RESOLUTION to take effect immediately provided it receives the affirmative vote of at least five members of Council, or otherwise to take effect at the earliest period allowed by law, authorizing the City of Lakewood’s participation under an agreement between the Westshore Council of Governments, and the Metrohealth System for the provision of professional tactical medical control services. (Pg.65)

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to refer Resolution No. 8781-14 to the Health & Human Services Committee.

Motion adopted. All members voting yea.

Announcements

Councilmember Bullock stated that he will provide at an upcoming meeting a report from the Tree Task Force as well as a report from the RTA Stakeholders meeting.

Motion by Ms. Madigan, seconded by Mr. Nowlin, to adjourn the meeting.

Motion adopted. All members present voting yea.

Meeting adjourned 10:18 PM.

Approved: _____

CLERK

PRESIDENT